

**Title:**                   **The Development of Assertion Reason Questions (ARQ) for the Assessment of Level 7 Graduate Entry Nurses**

**Presenter:**           **Devi Nannen, Mike Parker**  
University of York

### **Session Learning Outcomes**

By the end of this session, delegates will be able to:

- Identify the process to develop ARQs
- Understand the implementation of ARQs as a form of assessment
- Understand how ARQs differ to MCQs and the rationale behind their implementation
- Understand the difficulty in defining 'objective questioning' in developing ARQs
- Provide a summary of student experience

### **Session Outline**

In order to make safe and sound decisions in a fast-changing and unpredictable clinical environment, nurses need knowledge that has a solid theoretical foundation, which is situationally relevant and clinically appropriate. Describing this as functioning knowledge, Biggs (2003) argues that it can only be acquired through a deep approach to learning and not a surface one. The need for nursing students to adopt a deep approach is therefore obvious.

Graduate Entry Nursing Programmes pose a challenge at assessing students at Level 7 on their understanding, application and reasoning of theoretical knowledge (Siler et al 2008, Kohn and Trugi-Londrigan 2007). Multiple Choice Questions are only able to assess the retention of factual knowledge and therefore not appropriate for post-graduate education. By contrast, Assertion Reason Questions (ARQs) allow the student to demonstrate high order thinking and thus a deeper level of understanding (Williams 2006).

This session aims to provide attendees with a reflection on the development of ARQs that were 'fit for practice', addressed university assessment guidelines, and assessed module content in a balanced way.

The session will provide a keynote presentation on 'how to' develop an ARQ along with the rationale for their use.

Participants in the workshop will be split into two groups – one group will have the chance to develop a small examination using ARQs and the other group will ‘sit’ a small prepared ARQ examination (nobody will see the work other than the participant)

A group feedback discussion following the activity

Question and Answer Session

### **Session Activities and Approximate Timings**

The outline of the workshop is as follows;

Keynote Lecture – 15 minutes

Activity Group A (half the group) – Develop ARQ exam (15 minutes) to run concurrently

Activity Group B (half the group) – ‘Sit’ an ARQ Exam (15 minutes) to run concurrently

Discussion with Questions and Answers – 15 minutes

### **References**

Biggs, J. (1995) Assumptions underlying new approaches to educational assessment: implications for Hong Kong. *Curriculum Forum*, 4 (2) pp. 1–22

Biggs, J. 2nd Ed. (2003) *Teaching for quality learning at university*. The Society for Research into Higher Education & Open University Press, Buckingham

Kohn, P., Truglio-Londrigan, M., 2007. Second-career baccalaureate nursing students: a lived experience. *Journal of Nursing Education* 46 (9), pp. 391–399.

Neill, M.A. (2011) Graduate-entry nursing students’ experiences of an accelerated nursing degree: a literature review. *Nurse Education in Practice* 11 81–85.

B. Siler, N. DeBasio, K. Roberts (2008) Profile of non-nurse college graduates enrolled in accelerated baccalaureate curricula: results of a national study. *Nursing Education Perspectives*, 29 (6) (2008), pp. 336–341

Williams, J. B. (2006) Assertion-reason multiple-choice testing as a tool for deep learning: a qualitative analysis. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*. Vol 31 (3), pp. 287 – 301.